

Evanston, IL 60201

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/042,503	01/09/2002	Daniel S. Lowen	AUS920010643US1	6723
7590 10/18/2004		EXAMINER		
Frank C. Nicholas			LE, DIEU MINH T	
CARDINAL LA	AW GROUP			
Suite 2000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1603 Orrington Avenue			2114	

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•							
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/042,503	LOWEN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Dieu-Minh Le	2114				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence a	ddress			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Faill Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory irrefly received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, m tion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of period will apply and will expire SIX (6) y statute, cause the application to becor	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered time MONTHS from the mailing date of this me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>09 January 2002</u> .					
2a) <u></u>)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration	·				
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the ExThe drawing(s) filed on <u>09 January 2002</u> Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) to the drawing(s) be held in ab correction is required if the draw	reyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International is	uments have been received uments have been received e priority documents have b Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No been received in this Nationa	ıl Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		riew Summary (PTO-413)				
3) 🛛 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO or No(s)/Mail Date <u>01/09/02</u> .		r No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (P1 ::	「O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/042,503 Page 2

Art Unit: 2114

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is response to the communication filed on 01/09/02 in application 10/042,503.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipate over Lee et al. (U.S. Patent 6,718,489 hereafter referred to as Lee).

Application/Control Number: 10/042,503 Page 3

Art Unit: 2114

As per claim 1:

Lee explicitly teaches the invention. Lee teaches:

- A method of error retention for multi-threaded software, [abstract, col. 1, lines 53-57, col. 2, lines 3-4, col. 9, lines 3-17, and col. 12, lines 50-54],

comprising:

- executing an application which uses a logger that collects log statement [col. 2, lines 11-12, col. 5, lines 58-59, col. 8, lines 40-43, and col.. 9, lines 12-17];
- collecting at least one log statement from at least one application thread and storing the at least one log statement in memory, and [col. 2, lines 11-12, col. 12, lines 55-56];
- allowing the collected log statement to be persisted in case on an error in a production environment [col. 1, lines 54-57, col. 7, lines 16-20];

As per claims 2 and 6-7:

Lee further teaches:

- the application and logger are implemented on a web application server [col. 2, lines 48-50],
- the production application is an internet accessible application [col. 2, lines 48-50 and col. 3, line 39];

Application/Control Number: 10/042,503

Art Unit: 2114

- the method can be implemented using background threads [col. 2, lines 3-4 and col. 12, lines 50-53]

Page 4

As per claims 3-4:

Lee further teaches:

- the executing application is run in a development environment [fig. 1A, col. 7, lines 38-47];
- the executing application is run in a test environment [fig. 6, col. 13, lines 3-7].

As per claim 5:

Lee further teaches:

- the logger is built into a base class of an object oriented application framework (i.e., Electronic service Request (ESR) objects described in[fig. 9, col. 3, lines 27-31 and col. 5, lines 12-15].

As per claim 8:

Lee further teaches:

- detecting a death of an application thread by the logger [col. 4, lines 55-59 and col. 8, lines 46-50];
- deleting the application thread's log statements after thread death [col. 13, lines 3-7].

As per claims 9-10:

Due to the similarity of claims 9-10 to claims 1-8, except for a system of error retention for multi-threaded software comprising executing application means, collecting log statement means, allowing the collected log statement means, etc... instead method of error retention for multi-threaded software comprising executing application, collecting log statement, allowing the collected log statement, etc...; therefore, these claims are also rejected under the same rationale applied against claims 1-8. In addition, all of the limitations have been noted in the rejection as per claims 1-8.

As per claims 11-18:

These claims are the same as per claims 1-8. The only minor different is that this claim is directed to computer-readable medium instead of method of error retention for multi-threaded software comprising executing application, collecting log statement, allowing the collected log statement as described in claims 1-8. However, a computer-readable medium is a necessary item for such the storage device. Since the storage device needs a means for instruction or code means resided within the computer program media for performing the data execution, data

Art Unit: 2114

logging, data access, etc... Therefore, these claims are also rejected under the same rationale applied against claims 1-8.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 5. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expired THREE (3) months, ZERO days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to be abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dieu-Minh Le whose telephone number is (703)305-9408 [NOTE: After approximately October 15, 2004, I can be reached at the new number (571) 272-3660]. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 8:30 AM to 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on (703)305-9713. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2114

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DIEU-MINH THAI LE PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2114

DML 10/13/04